George Lardner, newsroom
Washington Post
1150 15 St., NW
Washington, D.C. 20071

2/11/92

Dear George,

Although you are to phone this afternoon, I write in part not to forget and in part not to take too much time then.

Disclosure of the Dallas police records reminds me that years ago I got a microfilm of what they'd given the Texas Court of Inquiry for its apology for an investigation. I then had two sets printed. I have one, about a file drawer, and I gave the other to Fensterwald. I suppose if you have any interest, Jim knows where it is. I am sure I read every page at that time and I do not recall anything like what I've heard of the contents of the records being disclosed. About which I'd appreciate the Houston Post and any other stories you may see.

If I hear from Wade or anyone else that I am getting copies of these police records I'll let you know.

A little before you phoned last night, which was about the time you'd be wanting to head home, I got the strange call about which I made a hasty memo this morning. There is nothing about it that makes sense. While I refer to the possibility that Oliver Stone may be looking to make a little trouble or embarrassment, at this juncture I see no rational reason for him to take time for such pettiness.

In a way while I was walking and thinking about this earlier I was reminded of the "Mr. Hunt" letter that looks like Oswald's writing. I did not believe it was genuine and I did nothing about it. Penn Jones got a story on it that provoked interest. Other critics, including little-known Howard Roffman, got idential letters and xeroxes. Mo Waldron (did you know him?) got a Times Mexico City correspondent go to that address. Mo told me it looked like a dafe house. I wrote the "Dr. R" or "S" at that address. My letter was not returned and I got no response. Mo and I, perhaps others, then suspected it might be a bit of CIA games-playing.

I tell you about this call in the event something turns up that can relate to it and so that, if you speak to any other critics, you can ask if they had any similar calls. It is in all respects odd to me, especially the content and timing.

With many too many interruptions I've been writing a rather long article on the publicity sought by the Warren former counsel, probably only a partial record for history. Not one of them could make any such self-defense with honesty. I'd appreciate a copy of their letter and anything else you may have on their ploy. I'm including Russell's dissent and my relations with him. To now this rested on my word only but a friend who went over his record sent me some copies. This includes his legislative assistant's comment on my first

book and the opinion that Russell and I see eye to eye on the single-bullet invention of Specter's. "CEC," who as I recall was named Campbell, had a good opinion of the book. He did not refer to any other specific content and he correctly understood that I was focusing on the counsels rather than the members. Russell said the only question he had about "this chap" is my association with Garrison, his interpretation of Garrison's introduction to Oswald in New Orleans. I did not ask it of him and learned that the publisher had only when I asked about the delay in the book's appearance.

Not much chance I'll be able to do more on it this week unless I can do a little this afternoon because of medical appointments, tomorrow morning at Hopkins and beginning tomorrow afternoon Gerald Posner and his wife will be here for help on an assassination book he is writing. He was delayed because of the delivery to him of more records he will probably not be willing to disclose relating to Martin Borman. He did a Mengele book and another on the subject in general. I've not read them.

I forgot to note in the memo that the woman who said she was with Israeli intelligence did not give me her name. In part to see if she'd give me some means of getting in touch with her and in part because I thought if she gave me one it would be phony I did not ask her name to see if she would even give me one. She didn't.

The Dallas papers may have had something on Howard's Rickey White fakery. If so I'd appreciate copies. I heard that rather than breaking off with him when it was apparent the White story was phony Stone gave him $80,000 for consultancy and another $40,000 I think after the symposium in Dallas last November.

Unless I misread the counsels' stories I think Adams may still be alive. There were 14 assistant counsel, 11 signed the statement and this would seem to mean Adams is alive. He was the first to quit the Commission, very early. That is what gave Specter charge of the areas that were to have been under Adams. While I do not know it to be a fact I've always believed that Adams left quietly because of disagreement with what the Commission was doing. Thus I wonder if he signed it and if he is alive. Hubert left in June because of his disagreements. He agreed to see me and then changed his mind. He and Jenner are dead.

Gus Russo says that the book of Stone's last script will be out within a month. It is to be part of a monstrous Stone ploy, having it used in schools to teach the assassination!

Best,

Harold